Case 2:10-cr-00578-APG-GWF Document 153 Filed 07/25/14 Page 1 of 4

## **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES OF LAW**

## I. RELEVANT STATEMENT OF FACTS

2.5

On December 01, 2010, the government filed a two count indictment alleging Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (count one) and Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (count two). On January 01, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment alleging six additional counts and Mr. Livolsi as a co-defendant.

In the superseding indictment the government alleged, in counts four through six, that Ms. Livolsi filed False and Fraudulent Tax Returns for the years 2003 (count 3), 2004 (count 4), 2005 (count 5), and 2006 (count 6), all in violation of 26 U.S.C. §7206(1). The government alleges that Ms. Livosli filed her 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 tax returns in 2007. Additionally, in counts seven and eight, the government alleges that Ms Livolsi Failed to File Tax Returns for tax years 2007 (count 7) and 2008 (count 8), in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7203.

Ms. Livolsi submits that counts four through eight broaden the charges, as alleged in the first indictment. The original indictment does not contain any factual allegations that Ms. Livolsi either failed to file or filed false tax returns. Ms. Livolsi now moves this court for a dismissal of counts four through eight pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6531 (Statute of Limitations).

## II. ARGUMENT

## A. Counts Four Through Eight Fall Outside the Six-Year Statute of Limitations and Should Be Dismissed Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6531

Generally, once an indictment is returned the statue of limitations is tolled as to the charges contained in the indictment. A superseding indictment returned while the first indictment is pending is timely unless it "broadens or substantially amends the charges in the original indictment. *See United States v. Sears*, Roebuck & Co., Inc., 785 F.2d 777, 778 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986), *United States v. Pacheco*, 912 F.2d 297 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990). Here, as noted above the superseding indictment broadens and substantially amends the allegations in the original indictment. In the original indictment Ms. Livolsi is only charged with Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud (count 1) and Wire Fraud (count 2). The elements and defenses for counts one and two substantially different then for counts four through eight. The government did not file the superseding indictment until January 7, 2014. This

1	is seven years past the six year statute of limitations pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6531. See 26 U.S.C.	
2	§ 6531 (2014).	
3	The government's seven year delay	in indicting counts three through eight is clearly in
4	violation of 26 U.S.C. § 6531. Therefore, Ms. Livolsi respectfully requests that this Court grant her	
5	motion to dismiss counts three through seven.	
6	DATED this 25th day of July, 2014.	
7		Respectfully submitted,
8		RENE VALLADARES Federal Public Defender
9	By: Mo	/s/ Monique N. Kirtley
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>		MONIQUE KIRTLEY Assistant Federal Public Defender
12		Counsel for Linda Livolsi
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	II	

Case 2:10-cr-00578-APG-GWF Document 153 Filed 07/25/14 Page 3 of 4